LECTURE 5: PROPERTIES OF A RANDOM SAMPLE

MECO 7312. INSTRUCTOR: DR. KHAI CHIONG SEPTEMBER 25, 2024

1. Random Samples

Suppose *n* number of observations are randomly sampled from the density $f(x)$. The first observation is X_1 , the second observation X_2 , and so on. The outcome is a *random sample* described by the random variables X_1, \ldots, X_n .

Random sampling is the default assumption that we maintained throughout.^{[1](#page-0-0)} It means that X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d) with the pdf $f(x)$. That is, the density of each X_1, \ldots, X_n is the same and equals $f(x)$. Moreover X_1, \ldots, X_n are mutually independent.

The joint pdf of X_1, \ldots, X_n is:

$$
f(x_1,...,x_n) = f(x_1) \cdots f(x_n) = \Pi_{i=1}^n f(x_i)
$$

1.1. Statistic

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from a population. Let $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ be a function that maps the sample space of (X_1, \ldots, X_n) into R. Then $Y = T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is called a statistic. A statistic is a random variable. The pdf of $Y = T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is called the sampling distribution of Y .

For example, the *sample mean* is the statistic defined by:

$$
\bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}
$$

The *sample variance* is the statistic defined by:

¹If our survey and experiment is not randomized correctly, then random sampling is violated. For instance, when a certain type of individuals opt out of the survey. The population also needs to be large enough, so that surveying the first household (and hence "removing" this household from the population to be surveyed next) has no effect on the population.

$$
S^{2} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \bar{X})^{2}
$$

1.2. Unbiased estimator

A statistic $T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is an unbiased estimator of a population parameter θ if $\mathbb{E}[T(X_1,\ldots,\overset{\cdot}{X}_n)] = \overset{\cdot}{\theta}.$

Let $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$, then \overline{X} is an unbiased estimator (or statistic) of μ .

$$
\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n} n\mu = \mu
$$

Moreover, the statistic \bar{X} has a variance of $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$, where $\text{Var}(X_i) = \sigma^2$.

$$
\operatorname{Var}[\bar{X}] = \operatorname{Var}\left[\frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{Var}[X_i]
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{n^2} n\sigma^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}
$$

The sample variance S^2 is an unbiased estimator of σ^2 .

$$
\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right]
$$

= $\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2\bar{X} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \bar{X}^2\right]$
= $\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 - 2n\bar{X}^2 + n\bar{X}^2\right]$ using $\sum X_i = n\bar{X}$
= $\frac{1}{n-1} (n \mathbb{E}[X_i^2] - n \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}^2])$

Since we have $\mathbb{E}[X_i^2] = \text{Var}(X_i) + \mathbb{E}[X_i]^2 = \sigma^2 + \mu^2$, and $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}^2] = \text{Var}(\bar{X}) + \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}]^2 =$ $\sigma^2/n + \mu^2$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \frac{n}{n-1}(\sigma^2 + \mu^2) - \frac{n}{n-1}\left(\frac{\sigma^2}{n} + \mu^2\right) = \sigma^2
$$

The bias of a statistics with respect to the population parameter θ is $\mathbb{E}[T(X_1, \ldots, X_n)]$ θ. For instance, if we were to use the sample variance formula $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\bar{X})^2,$ then:

$$
\mathbb{E}[\hat{\sigma}^2] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2\right]
$$

$$
= \frac{n-1}{n}\sigma^2
$$

Therefore the bias in using the statistic $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(X_i-\bar{X})^2$ in estimating the population parameter σ^2 is $\frac{1}{n}\sigma^2$, which diminishes as the sample size, *n* increases.

2. Sampling distribution

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution. Consider the sample mean $\bar{X} = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$ $\frac{n+X_n}{n}$, which is a random variable. The sampling distribution of \bar{X} is Normally distributed as $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$). In another words, the pdf of \bar{X} is $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ $\frac{\tau^2}{n}$). This can be proven using moment generating functions.

Sampling distribution is important because it describes the distribution of an estimator (or statistic) due to its' sampling variation. For example, the sample mean we calculated from a sample is a noisy estimate of the true population mean, and through the sampling distribution we get a sense of how noisy this estimate is.

On the other hand, for the sample variance, S^2 , the sampling distribution of $(n 1)S^2/\sigma^2$ is a chi squared distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom. Refer to the companion code for how we can use simulation to obtain the sampling distribution.

2.1. Chi squared distribution

Notation: χ_p^2 denotes a chi squared distribution with p degrees of freedom.

If X is a $N(0, 1)$ random variable, then $X^2 \sim \chi_1^2$. If X_1, \ldots, X_n are independent $N(0, 1)$, then $Z = X_1^2 + \cdots + X_n^2$ is distributed according to χ_n^2 . The pdf of χ_p^2 is $f(x) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(p/2)2^{p/2}} x^{\frac{p}{2}-1} e^{-\frac{x}{2}}$, for $x > 0$. Recall that $\Gamma(\cdot)$ is the Gamma function. In fact, χ_p^2 is a special case of the Gamma distribution.

The mean of χ_p^2 is p, while the variance of χ_p^2 is 2p. Because $(n-1)S^2/\sigma^2$ is a chi-squared distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom, we have:

$$
S^2 \sim \frac{\sigma^2}{n-1} \chi^2_{n-1}
$$

As such, $\mathbb{E}[S^2] = \sigma^2$ and $\text{Var}(S^2) = 2\sigma^4/(n-1)$.

To see intuitively why the sample variance has a χ^2_{n-1} distribution, notice that,

$$
\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{X_i - \bar{X}}{\sigma}\right)^2
$$

If we know that the population mean is μ , then we have $\frac{X_i-\mu}{\sigma} \sim N(0,1)$, and as such $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{X_i-\mu}{\sigma}\right)^2 \sim \chi_n^2$. Since we do not know μ and we estimate μ by $\frac{X_1+\cdots+X_n}{n}$, we lose one degree of freedom.

2.2. Student's t distribution

Recall that the sampling distribution of \bar{X} is $N(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n})$ $\frac{\bar{x}^2}{n}$). Therefore, $\frac{\bar{x}-\mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1)$.

What if we replace σ with the sample standard deviation S? What is the sampling distribution of $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}}$, where S is the sample standard deviation?

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from a $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ distribution. The statistic $\frac{\bar{X}-\mu}{S/\sqrt{n}}$ has Student's t distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom.^{[2](#page-4-0)}

Let us sketch the derivation of the pdf of the Student's t distribution. By multiplying both the numerator and denominator by σ , we get

(1)
$$
\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{S/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{(\bar{X} - \mu)/(\sigma/\sqrt{n})}{\sqrt{S^2/\sigma^2}}
$$

The numerator $(\bar{X} - \mu)/(\sigma/\sqrt{n})$ is distributed $N(0, 1)$. While the denominator $\sqrt{S^2/\sigma^2}$ is distributed as $\sqrt{V/(n-1)}$ where $V \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$. Moreover, the sample variance and mean are independent (see Theorem 5.3.1 in Casella-Berger). Therefore, the Student's t distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom is distributed as $\frac{U}{\sqrt{U}}$ $\frac{U}{V/n-1}$, where $U \sim N(0, 1)$ and $V \sim \chi^2_{n-1}$, with U and V independent. The pdf of the Student's t distribution can then be obtained by applying the bivariate change-of-

variables formula.

The t-distribution is symmetric and bell-shaped, like the normal distribution, but has heavier tails, meaning that it is more prone to producing values that fall far from its mean. As the degree of freedom $n-1$ increases, the t-distribution converges in distribution to the standard Normal.

The pdf of the t-distribution with degree of freedom v is:

$$
f_v(x) = \frac{\left(\frac{v}{v+x^2}\right)^{\frac{v+1}{2}}}{\sqrt{v}Beta\left(\frac{v}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\right)}
$$

It has mean (median, mode) of zero, but its variance is $\frac{v}{v-2}$.

3. Order Statistics

Let X_1, \ldots, X_n be a random sample from a population with distribution $f(x)$.

Let $X_{(n)}$ be the largest value from the sample X_1, \ldots, X_n . That is, $X_{(n)} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$ $\max(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$. The random variable $X_{(n)}$ is called the largest order statistics. While $X_{(1)} = \min(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is called the first-orders statistics.

What is the distributions of $X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$? Order statistics plays a big role in Auction Theory. In a second-price auction, the participant with the largest bid wins the auction but pays the second-largest bid. If bids are assumed to be realized

²William Gosset (under the pseudonym of Student) in the 1900s proposed substituting the unknown σ^2 with the sample variance S^2 , and derived the resulting sampling distribution.

i.i.d from a distribution, then the revenue from the auction is distributed as the second-largest order statistics.

To obtain the distributions of the order statistics, we start with the cdf.

$$
P(X_{(n)} \le x) = P(\max(X_1, ..., X_n) \le x)
$$

= Probability that all *n* observations are smaller than *x*
= $F(x)^n$

Hence the cdf of $X_{(n)}$ is $F(x)^n$, and the pdf is $nF(x)^{n-1}f(x)$.

The smallest-order statistic is:

$$
P(X_{(1)} \le x) = P(\min(X_1, ..., X_n) \le x)
$$

= 1 - P(\min(X_1, ..., X_n) > x)
= 1 - Probability that all *n* observations are larger than *x*
= 1 - (1 - F(x))ⁿ

Which leads to the density $n(1 - F(x))^{n-1} f(x)$.

In general, the density of $X_{(k)}$ can be derived as follows:

 $P(X_{(n-1)} \leq x)$ = Probability that exactly $n-1$ observations are smaller than x + Probability that exactly n observations are smaller than x

$$
= {n \choose n-1} F(x)^{n-1} (1 - F(x)) + F(x)^n
$$

 $P(X_{(n-2)} \leq x)$ = Probability that exactly $n-2$ observations are smaller than x + Probability that exactly $n-1$ observations are smaller than x + Probability that exactly n observations are smaller than x

$$
= {n \choose n-2} F(x)^{n-2} (1 - F(x))^2 + {n \choose n-1} F(x)^{n-1} (1 - F(x)) + F(x)^n
$$

3.1. Order statistic for the Uniform distribution

Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n is a random sample from the Uniform distribution $U[0, 1]$.

The largest-order statistic has the pdf $f(x) = nx^{n-1}$ with the support on $x \in (0, 1)$. Further, we can calculate the expectation with respect to this density, which is $\mathbb{E}[X_{(n)}] = \frac{n}{n+1}$. Therefore, when $n = 20$, the maximum out of n uniform random values is 20/21 \approx 0.952, on average. In fact, $f(x) = nx^{n-1}$ is the Beta distribution with parameters $\alpha = n$ and $\beta = 1$. In general, the k-th order statistic of a Uniform distribution is $Beta(k, n + 1 - k)$.

As an exercise, derive the expectation of the largest-order statistic of the Standard Normal distribution in terms of n , the sample size.

4. Convergence and consistency

4.1. Convergence in probability

A sequence of random variables Y_1, Y_2, \ldots , converges in probability to a number θ if, for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} P(|Y_n - \theta| \ge \epsilon) = 0
$$

or equivalently, $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|Y_n - \theta| < \epsilon) = 1$. The probability that Y_n is arbitrarily close to θ becomes 1 as *n* increases.

A statistic $Y_n = T(X_1, ..., X_n)$ is a **consistent** estimator of θ if Y_n converges in probability to θ as $n \to \infty$. In terms of notation, we say $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} \theta$ as $n \to \infty$.

For example, the sample mean $\bar{X}_n = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_n}{n}$ $\frac{n+X_n}{n}$ is a consistent estimator of μ , where μ is the population mean, $\mu \equiv \mathbb{E}[X_i]$. As the sample size becomes larger, there is an increasing probability that the sample mean becomes close to μ (or there is a decreasing probability that the sample mean is different from μ). The sequence defined by $p_n = P(|X_n - \mu| \geq \epsilon)$ converges to 0 as *n* increases.

Therefore, the sample mean is both a consistent and an unbiased estimator of the population mean. In general, unbiasedness and consistency are two very different concepts concerning the accuracy of an estimator. An estimator can be biased but consistent, and vice versa.

For example: $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ is a biased but consistent estimator of the population variance. Taking the average of the first 10 observations $\frac{1}{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} X_i$ regardless of the sample size is an unbiased but inconsistent estimator of the population mean.

A sufficient condition for Y_n to be a consistent estimator of θ is: (1) Y_n is an unbiased estimator θ , and (2) Var(Y_n) \rightarrow 0 when $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is an implication of the Chebychev's inequality:

$$
0 \le P(|Y_n - \mathbb{E}[Y_n]| \ge \epsilon) \le \frac{\text{Var}(Y_n)}{\epsilon^2}
$$

For example, when $\mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \mu$ and $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}$ $\frac{\sigma^2}{n}$, it follows that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \text{Var}(\bar{X}) =$ 0. As such, $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|\bar{X}-\mu| \geq \epsilon) = 0$. This is known as the Weak Law of Large Numbers, which we have proven here using Chebychev's inequality under the assumption of i.i.d and the existence of finite first and second moments. Note that Chebychev's inequality gives us a bound of $P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{n\epsilon^2}$ that holds for all *n*, which is more useful than $P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \epsilon) = O(\frac{1}{n})$ $\frac{1}{n}$.

We also know that the sample variance $S^2 = \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2$ has expectation σ^2 . A bit of algebra shows that Var $(S^2) = \frac{\mu_4}{n} - \frac{\sigma^4(n-3)}{n(n-1)}$, where $\mu_4 = \mathbb{E}[(X-\mu)^4]$ is the fourth-central moment of X. Hence, $Var(S^2)$ also goes to zero as n increases. That is, $S²$ is a consistent and unbiased estimator of the population variance.

4.2. Almost sure convergence

A sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots , converges almost surely to θ if

$$
P\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}X_n=\theta\right)=1
$$

In terms of notation, we say that $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \theta$ as $n \to \infty$.

There is a notable difference between convergence almost surely and convergence in probability.

 $P(\lim_{n\to\infty}X_n=\theta)=1$ is equivalent to: there exists a finite number N such that for all $n > N$, the event $(|X_n - \theta| < \epsilon)$ occurs with probability 1 (surely), for any arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0.3$ $\epsilon > 0.3$

On the other hand, convergence in probability states that $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(|X_n-\theta|<\epsilon)$ 1, which is equivalent to: there exists a finite number N such that for all $n > N$, $P(|X_n - \theta| < \epsilon) > 1 - \delta$, for any arbitrarily small $\epsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$.

³Note that θ can be a random variable. So that when X_n converges almost surely to X, it strictly means that $P(\omega \in \Omega : \lim_{n\to\infty} X_n(\omega) = \theta(\omega)) = 1$. Recall that a random variable is a function from some sample space Ω to the real numbers.

In almost-sure convergence, the event $|X_n-\theta| < \epsilon$ is sure (with probability 1), while for convergence in probability, the event $|X_n - \theta| < \epsilon$ occurs with probability close to one but never exactly one. Strong Law of Large Numbers: the sample mean converges almost surely to the population mean as $n \to \infty$.

Convergence almost surely is stronger than convergence in probability. The former implies the latter. But convergence in probability does not imply almost sure convergence. For example, consider the random variable $X_n = 1$ with probability $\frac{1}{n}$, and $X_n = 0$ with probability $1 - \frac{1}{n}$ $\frac{1}{n}$. Then X_n converges to 0 in probability, but X_n does not converge to 0 almost surely.

4.3. Convergence in distribution

A sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots , converges in distribution to a random variable X if

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} F_{X_n}(x) = F_X(x), \text{ for all } x
$$

That is, the sequence of cdfs corresponding to the sequence of random variables X_1, X_2, \ldots , converges to the cdf of X.

Suppose X_1, \ldots, X_n is a random sample from $U[0, 1]$. Consider the largest order statistic $X_{(n)} = \max\{X_1, \ldots, X_n\}.$

What does $X_{(n)}$ converge to? It seems like $X_{(n)}$ would converge in probability to 1. Let's verify it.

$$
P(|X_{(n)} - 1| \le \epsilon) = P(1 - \epsilon \le X_{(n)} \le 1 + \epsilon)
$$

$$
= P(1 - \epsilon \le X_{(n)})
$$

$$
= 1 - (1 - \epsilon)^n
$$

$$
\to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty
$$

However consider the random variable $n(1 - X_{(n)})$.

$$
P(n(1 - X_{(n)}) \le t) = P(1 - t/n \le X_{(n)})
$$

$$
= 1 - (1 - t/n)^n
$$

$$
\to 1 - e^{-t} \text{ as } n \to \infty
$$

Therefore, $n(1 - X_{(n)})$ converges in distribution to an exponential(1) random variable, since an exponential(1) random variable has the cdf $F(x) = 1 - e^{-x}$.

Convergence in probability implies convergence in distribution. In general, convergence in distribution does not imply convergence in probability, except when the converged object is a constant.